

Testimony before Economic Development Committee. SB 10 Historic Preservation Rehabilitation Tax Credit February 19, 2009

I am Michael Stevens and am State Historic Preservation Officer and Administrator of the Division of Historic Preservation and Public History at the Wisconsin Historical Society.

The Wisconsin Historical Society supports SB10 and its companion AB18, which would modify the state historic building tax credit for rehabilitating income-producing properties. This bill will revise the Wisconsin historic preservation tax credit law in a way to draw more out-of-state investment in the state without increasing the size of the credit. Wisconsin law currently provides a 5% state historic building tax credit for rehabilitating income-producing properties, which supplements the 20% federal historic building tax credit. In many states, the investor who uses the federal credit is different from the investor who uses the state credit. This gives developers the chance to attract additional investors, thus maximizing use of the credit provision by achieving a larger pool of investors.

Currently, in Wisconsin each partner in a project gets a portion of the state tax credit based on that partner's investment. However, if the out-of-state investor did not have a Wisconsin tax liability, that credit would go unused. As a result, out-of-state investors have a disincentive to invest capital in the restoration of an historic Wisconsin property.

The proposed legislation changes the current law in order to permit partners to allocate the credit based on a written agreement. The law does not change the amount of the credit, but only the way that the credit gets allocated among partners. It also changes the effective date of the credit from the date of federal approval to the date of state approval. This is important because it allows developers to begin work as soon as the Society approves the application without waiting for federal approval, which could take an additional 60 days.

The Society can implement this change without any additional GPR funding.

Why is this change important? Government cannot and should not preserve all historic properties in the state. The preservation of the state's historic built environment depends on private investment. The bill allows the existing credit to be more functional. Last year, \$50 million was invested in the rehabilitation of historic buildings in Wisconsin. This represents a significant investment both in the preservation of our history as well as in the economy of the State.

Collecting, Preserving and Sharing Stories Since 1846

816 State Street Madison, Wisconsin 53706

February 17, 2009

Senator Julie Lassa, Chair of the Committee on Economic Development State of Wisconsin NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION®

Midwest OFFICE

Dear Senator Lassa,

This letter is to express support for the National Trust for Historic Preservation of Senate Bill 10; relating to: the income and franchise tax credit that supplements the federal historic rehabilitation tax credit.

The National Trust for Historic Preservation provides leadership, education, advocacy, and resources to save America's diverse historic places and revitalize our communities. The National Trust is a private, nonprofit membership organization dedicated to saving historic places and revitalizing America's communities. As the Director of the Wisconsin Field Office of the National Trust for Historic Preservation, I wish to relay our full support in favor of Senate Bill 10.

The National Trust for Historic Preservation agrees with the language of this bill and in making existing tax credit programs work more effectively. This tax credit is the strongest incentive that exists for the rehabilitation of historic properties. Improvements to this process will allow for greater and more substantial use of this important incentive that encourages the revitalization of Wisconsin communities. The economic benefits of historic preservation will be enhanced with passage of this important bill.

I will be unable to attend the February 19, 2009 hearing of the Committee on Economic Development on this bill. I request that you please accept this written testimony in my absence, and distribute it to the members of this Committee. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or if I can provide further information.

Sincerely,

Trent Elwyn Margrif

Director

Wisconsin Field Office

National Trust for Historic Preservation

516 Algoma Blvd. #312

Oshkosh, WI 54901

National Office

1785 Massachusetts Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20036

P 202.588.6000

F 202.588.6038

E info@nthp.org

www.PreservationNation.org



Senate Committee on Economic Development

Testimony of Eric Peterson, Office of Senator Taylor In Favor of Senate Bill 10 - Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits Thursday, February 19, 2009

Honorable Chairwoman Lassa and Honorable Senators,

I am pleased to be here to offer the support of Senator Taylor on SB 10 and to request an amendment to ensure that projects of the nature that this bill allows continue to spur economic growth, historic preservation, and job creation in our state. The bill and the proposed amendment will create three very identifiable areas of change in growth in our state.

Each of us can identify major historical landmarks in each district that may have been built for one purpose, but today can be easily utilized for another. In Milwaukee, there have been many identified. The historic Fifth Ward lofts are just one example. It was not only the turning of a historical building into new apartments, but that project became a catalyst to changing the nature of the Fifth Ward in Milwaukee. Projects like that have sparked community change to almost every major city in Wisconsin - Milwaukee, Madison, Racine, Sheboygan, LaCrosse, and so many more. That is the first change SB 10 will grow and continue.

The second is the attraction of investment monies into this state. Currently, according the US Department of Interior, Wisconsin ranks 19th out of the states for projects that capture the federal tax credit. A comparable state, Missouri, ranks 2nd. Missouri had 99 projects approved in 2006 with project costs over 287 million dollars. That same year, Wisconsin had 12 projects at a 34 million dollar project cost. Senate Bill 10 will move Wisconsin up that list and grow the number of projects that we are doing. To this point, we ask that the Committee introduce and adopt the amendment I have placed with the clerk. This amendment will extend the credits to projects that have already started and assure those investors that Wisconsin means business in this program. Many of those programs are happening in our largest city in the state, and Milwaukee desperately needs to keep those projects running.

Third, this bill creates jobs and slows urban sprawl. This past week the Legislature acted swiftly in these unprecedented economic times to create jobs and keep families in homes. At the time of passage, the assembly majority leader rightly said that bill was a first step in many we will take to create jobs. SB 10 can be the second step. Good jobs, that support families, will be created for every project this credit will create. In addition, as we create these jobs, we will slow the spread of housing into the farmlands that surround our cities and revitalize urban communities.

Senate Bill 10 and the amendment we are requesting have our strongest support. Our urban areas need change not abandoned buildings. We need housing in communities that encourage shops, bakeries, delis, the corner pub, and the library. Wisconsin needs investors that are betting on our state's better economic times and we need jobs for our citizens. I encourage and ask for your support for the amendment and SB 10 as amended.

Thank you.